## 2018 NODE.JS USER STUDY **DETAILED REPORT OF FINDINGS**





May 2018

THELINUX FOUNDATION



With nearly 10 million Node.js users, three in four users are planning to increase their use of Node.js in the next 12 months. Node users continue to report positive business impact, including improving developer productivity and satisfaction and lowering cost.



Node.js is emerging as a universal development framework for digital transformation with a broad diversity of applications.



### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Major highlights

- 1. "flexible" and even "fun".
- 2. Latin America and EMEA.
- 3. of which may call for different approaches worldwide.
- 4. package manager, but Yarn is gaining in popularity in many segments.
- 5. Node.js and Security).

Node.js is continuing to have a positive impact on users particularly around developer productivity and satisfaction; when asked to describe Node.js, respondents use mostly positive terms like – "fast", "easy", "awesome", "powerful",

The coming year will likely see continued growth for Node.js. Most users expect to increase their usage, particularly in

A differentiated strategy by region may be called for given Node's global presence and the varying needs and approaches by region. Among other things, there are key differences in business profile, deployment locations, language & resource usage, priorities (e.g., around package managers or LTS), educational needs and experiences, all

It is becoming increasingly important to users to manage different packages for multiple environments – but having access to multiple registries is not particularly important outside Latin America. npm is by far the most widely used

Recent improvements around education have registered with users as evidenced by improved scores for ease of learning Node.js, and for the availability and quality of resources. But more could be done, particularly in meeting the needs of some segments (APAC, EMEA and new users) and in some topic areas (Managing Node.js in Production and



BUSINESS / PERSONAL PROFILE

### International presence

Collectively, respondents span **100+** countries and speak at least **60** languages Fewer than half consider English their primary language











BUSINESS / PERSONAL PROFILE

### Professional profile

Typical respondent is a developer in a small (<100 employees) firm, with 5 years professional experience Although many have 10+ years total development experience, respondents are somewhat less experienced

overall in this wave

#### **PROFESSIONAL TITLE**







The typical respondent has been using Node.js for just over 2 years, and spends more than half of their development time with Node.js

## Experience with Node.js

YEARS USING NODE.JS

< 2 yrs</li>
2 - <3 yrs</li>
3+ yrs

Median Years





### NODE.JS IMPACT & GETTING INVOLVED

## Business impact

- As last year, many users say that Node.js has had a positive impact on their business chiefly through increased developer productivity and satisfaction
- Reduced development costs and increased application performance are also important outcomes tied to Node.js
- Perhaps not surprisingly, longer-tenured users are far more likely than novices to report an impact from Node.js

### **HOW NODE.JS HAS IMPACTED YOUR BUSINESS**



**IMPACT BY YEARS USING NODE.JS** top impacts

| Increased developer productivity58%74%Improved developer satisfaction5168 | <sup>^</sup> S |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
|                                                                           | ,<br><b>)</b>  |
|                                                                           |                |
| Reduced development costs 45 62                                           |                |
| Increased application performance 42 51                                   |                |
| Increased uptime 19 27                                                    |                |
| Helped recruit developers 15 27                                           |                |
| No impact 9 4                                                             |                |



FOR PRODUCTION

### Where code is deployed

AWS is the primary place where respondents deploy code for production, and it seems to be growing for use in development On-Premise infrastructure is also widely used, but has dropped for use in production since last year

#### Primary Total Amazon Web Services **49%** 32% **On-Premise Infrastructure** 33% 21% 11% Heroku 25% 8% Digital Ocean 21% <mark>5%</mark> Google Cloud Platform 16% **5% 11%** Microsoft Azure **1% 3%** IBM Bluemix **1%3%** Red Hat Openshift

SOURCE: Q28, Q29, Q30





## Types of development work

Majority are spending time developing web apps, particularly in full stack or front-end positions

A notable minority also engage in enterprise and/or hobbyist work

Those outside the traditional development areas are more likely to be working on embedded systems

#### **TYPES OF DEVELOPMENT WORK SPEND TIME ON** top mentions



### **TYPE OF WORK** by primary development focus

|                    | Back-End | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |
|--------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| Web Apps           | 82%      | 92%        | 89%       | 66%   |
| Enterprise         | 47       | 43         | 35        | 40    |
| Hobbyist           | 32       | 41         | 35        | 37    |
| Big Data/Analytics | 13       | 14         | 7         | 13    |
| Embedded Systems   | 8        | 7          | 3         | 19    |
| Hobbyist ONLY      | 2        | 2          | 3         | 10    |



### Tools / technologies used

A range of tools are used with Node.js, primarily: **databases, libraries, and Node.js frameworks** 

Back-End and Full Stack developers most likely to use a range of tools

Messaging systems and CI are less commonly used than other tools – and usage has dropped since last year

### **TYPES OF TOOLS / TECHNOLOGIES USED WITH NODE.JS** in past 12 months



### **TYPES OF TOOLS** by Primary Development Focus

|                      | Back-End | Full Stack  | Front-End       | Other        |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Databases            | 98%      | 97%         | 89%             | 92%          |
| Front-end Frameworks | 83       | 93          | 94              | 69           |
| Node.js Frameworks   | 84       | 90          | 67              | 59           |
| Load Balancing       | 65       | 68          | 48              | 44           |
| Containers / Cloud   | 62       | 61          | 48              | 45           |
| CI                   | 40       | <b>45</b> X | —( <b>40</b> )— | <b> 27 )</b> |
| Messaging            | 21       | 15          | 4               | 12           |







### Primary OS / Distro

**PRIMARY OS / DISTRO USED** top mentions



# Ubuntu is the primary OS / Distro used in production, while MAC OS is primary in development

Use of Windows – in both production and development – has increased since last year, particularly in US/CA, EMEA and in smaller companies (among other segments).



#### LANGUAGES USED

3 other languages are used on average besides Node.js typically JavaScript and then Python, Java or PHP

More than a third are using ES2017 or above

### Languages used



**OTHER LANGUAGES USED (PAST 12 MONTHS)** 

#### **PRIMARY JAVASCRIPT LANGUAGE VERSION (6 MONTHS)**



## Expected change in other languages

- Use of other languages will also increase among current users including Rust, Go, and JavaScript
- Usage of PHP and Ruby appears to be on the decline although many of those in Asia/Pacific plan to increase their usage of PHP



### **EXPECTED CHANGE IN USE OVER NEXT 12 MONTHS** among users of each language\*

SOURCE: Q25, Q26, among those who use respective brand and who provided an answer



## Other languages used in addition to Node.js

Language usage varies somewhat by region and development focus PHP is less popular in US / CA and among those outside of traditional programming areas Despite being less likely to use JavaScript and PHP, those "other" developers use more languages on average (closer to 4), including C++ and C

|            | US / CA | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |
|------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------|
| JavaScript | 93%     | 93%        | 89%       | 96%   |
| Python     | 39      | 35         | 35        | 39    |
| Java       | 34      | 36         | 30        | 48    |
| PHP        | 23      | 37         | 29        | 38    |
| .Net       | 22      | 20         | 19        | 19    |
| C++        | 20      | 16         | 13        | 8     |
| Go         | 18      | 14         | 15        | 20    |
| С          | 16      | 13         | 15        | 15    |
| Ruby       | 20      | 12         | 8         | 11    |
| Average #  | 3.3     | 3.2        | 2.8       | 3.3   |

### **BY REGION**

### **BY PRIMARY DEVELOPMENT FOCUS**

|            | Back-End   | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |
|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| JavaScript | <b>92%</b> | 95%        | 94%       | 84%   |
| Python     | 36         | 38         | 33        | 41    |
| Java       | 36         | 34         | 35        | 39    |
| PHP        | 31         | 35         | 29        | 21    |
| .Net       | 21         | 19         | 21        | 26    |
| C++        | 15         | 15         | 13        | 33    |
| Go         | 17         | 17         | 11        | 15    |
| С          | 15         | 13         | 10        | 28    |
| Ruby       | 12         | 14         | 14        | 16    |
| Average #  | 3.2        | 3.2        | 2.9       | 3.6   |



### Package manager usage

| <b>npm</b> is, by far, the most widely used                                     | PACKAG |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| package manager – but <b>Yarn</b> is                                            |        |
| gaining in popularity in many                                                   | npm    |
| subgroups                                                                       | Yarn   |
| Respondents search for packages                                                 |        |
| almost entirely on <b>npmjs.org</b> or                                          | Bower  |
| through <b>Google / search engine</b> ,<br>which are gaining popularity in APAC | JSPM   |
| and among other developers                                                      | Duo    |

#### E MANAGER USAGE WHERE PACKAGES ARE SEARCHED FOR 38% 60% npmjs.org Google / 32% 13% other search engine npmS.IO / npm 1% <1% 1% npmsearch.com <1% StackOverflow / 1% other commun. <1% 1% GitHub



The primary way respondents are gaining informal coding education is via online courses without an instructor – particularly outside US/CA.

While not widely used, tutoring is more popular in APAC than in other regions.

## Informal coding education

#### **INFORMAL CODING EDUCATION OTHER SOURCES** *mentioned by 1% or fewer* Google / YouTube NodeGirls Online course (no instructor) 38% NodeBots Node.js documentation NodeSchool 9% Code School PluralSight Tutoring **4%** Code Academy github Node.js meetup workshop 5% Coding Bootcamp Freecode Camp Udemy 2% Blogs/articles Online tutorials Books / reading **2%** NodeTogether Self-taught **2%** None / no answer 31%

\*Single response question, but allowed multiple responses in 'other specify' in 2017; not trendable





## Node.js Skills Acquisition

### Nearly all respondents learned Node.js in English – which is non-native for more than half of respondents

In certain regions – including EMEA and (especially) Latin America – a vast majority of respondents learned Node.js in a non-native language

#### LEARNED NODE.JS IN NON-NATIVE LANGUAGE



s non-native for more than half of respondents rica – a vast majority of respondents learned



## Ease of learning Node.js

### About half of respondents say it was generally easy to learn. Very few complain that it is difficult

Surprisingly, those in Latin America – who are most likely to have learned in a non-native language – are particularly upbeat about ease of learning

Newer users are less enthusiastic than those using it 2+ years – suggesting that **more could be done to improve the learning experience** 



#### **EASE OF LEARNING NODE.JS** by subgroup

n. Very few complain that it is difficult ive learned in a non-native language –

\* Top 3/Bottom 3 box on scale from 1 'extremely difficult' to 10 'extremely easy'.



### Resources used

Respondents use many resources when learning a new language – documentation and StackOverflow chief among them

Tutorial videos are also widely used

While documentation and StackOverflow are still top, newer Node.js users are more likely than others to also use free & paid online courses and tutorial videos

### RESOURCES RELY ON MOST WHEN LEARNING NEW LANGUAGE / FRAMEWORK / RUNTIME ENVIRONMENT

Documentation StackOverflow Free online courses Tutorial videos Publications Paid online courses Paid online courses Conference talk videos Technical podcasts Meet-up talk events Conferences Technical webinars **RESOURCES USED BY YEARS** using Node.js

| 84% |                        | < 2 yrs | 2+ yrs |
|-----|------------------------|---------|--------|
| 72% | Documentation          | 80%     | 88%    |
| 58% | StackOverflow          | 71      | 72     |
| 56% | Free online courses    | 66      | 52     |
| 40% | Tutorial videos        | 62      | 52     |
| 28% | Publications           | 39      | 40     |
| 25% | Paid online courses    | 35      | 24     |
| 18% | Conference talk videos | 19      | 29     |
| 15% | Technical podcasts     | 16      | 19     |
| 15% | Meetup talk events     | 10      | 17     |
| 12% | Conferences            | 11      | 18     |
| 11% |                        |         |        |

#### **OTHER RESOURCES** used by 8% or less

Meet-up coding events Workshops (independ-ent of conf's) Enterprise tooling Enterprise services Biz case study podcasts Biz case study webinars



### BACKGROUND

## Methodology

- This report presents selected findings from the 2018 Node.js User Survey.
- communications.
- The study was conducted online from Oct 5, 2017 to January 7, 2018 via a self-administered survey.
- The survey was fielded worldwide in English and Chinese to encourage maximum response.
- The survey link was distributed by the Node.js Foundation through a number of channels including email, Twitter, conferences, blogs and word of mouth (meet-ups).
- A total of **1,626** individuals responded to at least some questions in the survey.\*
- by Research Collaborative, an independent market research firm.
- Numbers may not total to 100% due to rounding

The primary objective of the research was to profile Node.js users and identify potential areas of improvement. The findings will be used for program development, marketing and PR/external

To ensure data integrity and unbiased interpretation, data analysis and reporting was conducted









For more information: Contact [presenter] +1 (xxx) xxx-xxxx <u>xxxxxxx@vvvvv.zzz</u>



## Thank you



### 2019 NODE.JS USER STUDY **DETAILED REPORT OF FINDINGS**



- May 2018
- Appendix
- **D**THELINUXFOUNDATION



### BACKGROUND

### Overview / methodology

- This report presents selected findings from the 2018 Node.js User Survey.
- communications.
- The study was conducted online from Oct 5, 2017 to January 7, 2018 via a self-administered survey.
- The survey was fielded worldwide in English and Chinese to encourage maximum response.
- The survey link was distributed by the Node.js Foundation through a number of channels including email, Twitter, conferences, blogs and word of mouth (meet-ups).
- A total of 1,626 individuals responded to at least some questions in the survey.\* ^
- by Research Collaborative, an independent market research firm.
- Numbers may not total to 100% due to rounding

The primary objective of the research was to profile Node.js users and identify potential areas of improvement. The findings will be used for program development, marketing and PR/external

To ensure data integrity and unbiased interpretation, data analysis and reporting was conducted



### SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

## Business / personal profile

- As with last year, the typical Node.js user is male, age 31 and college educated.
- A majority are developers, in small (<100 employees) companies, with 5+ years of professional development experience.
- Although many have 10+ years total development experience, this year's respondents are less experienced in terms of total development experience.
- Respondents come from across the globe, but most are in US/CA or EMEA.
- Collectively, respondents speak over 60 languages, but for nearly half English is primary.
- The mix of countries has changed somewhat since last year with fewer from US and China and more from India and Canada.
- There are considerable differences in personal and business characteristics, with those from US/CA older, more experienced, and from larger companies than users elsewhere.





### Personal characteristics

- The typical Node.js user is male, age 31, college educated and white.
- Respondents are slightly older in this wave, but gender and education are unchanged.





## Profile by region

- There are notable differences in business and personal profile by region.
- Those in the US/CA are older, more experienced and work in larger companies than their peers around the globe.
- EMEA respondents are particularly highly educated.
- China as a percentage of APAC respondents this year. APAC respondents are relatively new to development.

#### **BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS** by region

|                                    | US/CA      | EMEA       | APAC | LatAm |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------|-------|
| Co size (median # ee's)            | 99         | 24         | 24   | 40    |
| Prof'l dev experience (median yrs) | 7.5        | 5.3        | 3.7  | 4.4   |
| Prof'l dev experience (10+ yrs)    | 42%        | <b>29%</b> | 18%  | 28%   |
| Developers                         | 60%        | 67%        | 64%  | 70%   |
| Managers                           | <b>29%</b> | 23%        | 24%  | 24%   |

The profile of APAC respondents has changed in many respects vs. last wave – perhaps not surprising given the drop in

#### **PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS** by region

|                          | US/CA | EMEA | APAC | LatAm |
|--------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|
| English primary language | 92%   | 21%  | 42%  | 1%    |
| Age (median)             | 33    | -31  | 29   |       |
| Age (% 35+)              | 41%   | 31%  | 20%  | 24%   |
| Male                     | 91%   | 95%  | 97%  | 96%   |
| Have grad degree         | 15%   | 28%  | 20%  | 8%    |



### SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

### Node.js usage profile

- As with last year, the typical user has been working with Node.js over 2 years, and spends more than half of his development time using it. The vast majority are developing web apps.
- Most respondents are primarily back-end or full stack development-focused, and they use Node.js more regularly at work than others do.
- Relatively few users are primarily focused on "other" non-traditional development areas\*, but those who are tend to be older and more highly educated than others.
- Users are deploying through a range of channels, but AWS is most widely used for production and On-premise or AWS for development. Heroku seems to be growing in popularity in APAC and Latin America.
- More than 4 in 5 back-end and full stack developers are using Node.js frameworks; Express is tops, but Graph QL is increasingly prevalent this wave.
- Most are using a transpiler and module bundler (especially full stack and front-end developers). Babel is the preferred transpiler, but Typescript is growing. Webpack continues to dominate the module bundler space.
- Ubuntu is the primary OS/Distro used in production, and MAC OS in development but Windows seems to be growing in popularity for both (especially in US/CA and EMEA).





### Development focus

- Three in four Node.js users are focused primarily on back-end or full stack development
- There has been a slight drop in this wave in those who have any focus on back-end development
- US / CA respondents are more focused on full stack than back-end
- Ops / DevOps is really only a focus in US / CA although even here, it is not widely focused on



#### **DEVELOPMENT FOCUS** *title*

#### **PRIMARY DEVELOPMENT FOCUS** by region

| D       | D     |        | E a series | 1  | D      |
|---------|-------|--------|------------|----|--------|
| Primarv | Devel | opment | FOCUS      | bV | Kegion |
|         |       |        |            |    |        |

|              | US/CA | EMEA     | APAC       | LatAm    |
|--------------|-------|----------|------------|----------|
| Back-end     | 32%   | 38%      | 43%        | 42%      |
| Full Stack   | 42    | 39       | 38         | 41       |
| Front-end    | 15    | 14       | 13         | 11       |
| Ops / DevOps | 3     | 1        | <1         | <b>1</b> |
| Desktop Apps | 2     | 2        | 2          | 1        |
| Mobile       | 2     | 2        | 2          | 2        |
| IoT          | 1     | 2        | 1          | 2        |
| Security     | <1    | <u>1</u> | < <b>1</b> | 0        |



## Profile by development area

- There are some differences in users' business and personal characteristics based on development focus.
- Those outside of the three traditional development areas tend to be older and more highly educated than others.

|                                         | Back-<br>end | Full<br>Stack | Front-<br>end | Other      |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Co size (median # ee's)                 | 54           | 37            | 79            | 44         |
| Prof'l dev experience (median yrs)      | 4.7          | 6.0           | 5.6           | 6.1        |
| Prof'l dev experience (10+ yrs)         | 31           | 32            | 29            | 38         |
| Developers                              | 69%          | 61%           | 71%           | 50%        |
| Managers                                | 25%          | 28%           | 19%           | 32%        |
| Years using Node.js (median)            | 2.2          | 2.5           | 2.3           | 2.2        |
| % Prof Dev time Use Node.js<br>(median) | 61%          | 62%           | 27%           | <b>39%</b> |

### **BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS** *by primary development focus*

Full stack developers have been using Node.js the longest, and along with back-end developers, spend the most time with it.

|                          | Back-<br>end | Full<br>Stack | Front-<br>end | Other |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------|--|
| English primary language | 43%          | 48%           | 44%           | 48%   |  |
| Age (median)             | 31           | 31            | 31            | 35    |  |
| Male                     | 94%          | 95%           | 95%           | 91%   |  |
| Have grad degree         | 22%          | 19%           | 18%           | 29%   |  |
| US/CA                    | 27%          | 34%           | 35%           | 39%   |  |
| EMEA                     | 46%          | 43%           | 45%           | 45%   |  |
| APAC                     | <b>19%</b>   | 16%           | 15%           | 10%   |  |
| Latin America            | 8%           | 8%            | 6%            | 4%    |  |

### **PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS** *by primary development focus*

"Other" includes: Ops/Dev Ops, Desktop Applications, Mobile, IoT and Security



### Where deploy code

- EMEA respondents are less likely than others to use AWS, preferring on-premise infrastructure. US/CA respondents are also likely to be deploying via on-premise infrastructure
- Heroku is growing in both APAC and Latin America, and is one of the top choices for deployment for development in Latin America

### WHERE PRIMARILY DEPLOY NODE.JS CODE by region

| For <u>Production</u> |                                               |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| US/CA                 | EMEA                                          | APAC                   | LatAm                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37%                   | 23%                                           | 42%                    | 40%                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20                    | 27                                            | 11                     | 12                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11                    | 11                                            | 12                     | 16                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7                     | 9                                             | 7                      | 7                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                     | 5                                             | 5                      | 6                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                     | 4                                             | 7                      | 5                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                     | 1                                             | 1                      | 3                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                     | 1                                             | 2                      | 1                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                     | 7                                             | 4                      | 4                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 37%<br>20<br>11<br>7<br>4<br>5<br>2<br>2<br>1 | 37%23%2027111179455411 | 37%23%42%202711111112797455547211112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### For Production

| For <u>Development</u>    |       |      |               |       |
|---------------------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|
|                           | US/CA | EMEA | APAC          | LatAm |
| On-Premise Infrastructure | 32%   | 32%  | 20%           | 22%   |
| Amazon Web Services       | 24    | 17   | 27            | 20    |
| Heroku                    | 9     | 12   | 16            | 20    |
| Digital Ocean*            | 5     | 6    | 7             | 5     |
| Google Cloud              | 3     | 4    | 4             | 1     |
| Microsoft Azure^          | 3     | 4    | 7             | 4.    |
| IBM Bluemix               | 1     | 1    | 1             | 4     |
| Red Hat Openshift^        | 1     | 1    | / <b>\1</b> / | 1     |
| Depl not req'd            | 16    | 16   | 14            | 13    |

\* Items added to pre-list in 2017; not trended



## Where deploy code

- Deployment also varies somewhat based on development focus.
- AWS is widely used by back-end, full stack and front-end developers, but less so for others.
- Heroku is relatively popular among full-stack developers.

### **WHERE PRIMARILY DEPLOY NODE.JS CODE** by primary development focus

|                           | Back-end | Full Stack | Front-end | Other |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| Amazon Web Services       | 36%      | 33%        | 29%       | 16%   |
| On-Premise Infrastructure | 23       | 20         | 24        | 20    |
| Heroku                    | 10       | 14         | 9         | 8     |
| Digital Ocean*            | 5        | 11         | 8         | 5     |
| Google Cloud              | 5        | 5          | 4         | 5     |
| Microsoft Azure^          | 5        | 4          | 4         | 9     |
| IBM Bluemix               | 2        | 1          | 0         | 3     |
| Red Hat Openshift^        | 1        | 1          | 1         | 2     |
| Depl not req'd            | 4        | 3          | 13        | 13    |

### For **Production**

#### For <u>Development</u>

|                           | Back-end | Full Stack | Front-end | Other       |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| On-Premise Infrastructure | 29%      | 29%        | 33%       | 25%         |
| Amazon Web Services       | 24       | 22         | 17        | 10          |
| Heroku                    | 12       | 14         | 9         | 7           |
| Digital Ocean*            | 3        | 8          | 7         | 4           |
| Google Cloud              | 3        | 4          | 2         | 4           |
| Microsoft Azure^          | 5        | 3          | 2         | <b>~7</b> \ |
| IBM Bluemix               | 1        | 1          | 1         | 2           |
| Red Hat Openshift^        | 1        | <u> </u>   | 1         | 2           |
| Depl not req'd            | 15       | 12         | 20        | 27          |

\* Items added to pre-list in 2017; not trended



### Transpilers and bundlers

- Most respondents use a transpiler and module bundler particularly front-end and full stack developers.
- Use of transpilers has risen since last year; Babel is most common, but use of Typescript is on the rise.
- Webpack seems to be solidifying its notable lead among module bundlers.



#### **USE A TRANSPILER**



### **USE A MODULE BUNDLER**



### Primary OS / distro

- Distro use varies somewhat by region, with Ubuntu more popular in APAC and Latin America, and MAC OS more popular in US / CA
- Debian-based Linux, while not widely used anywhere for development, is somewhat popular in EMEA and Latin America in production

| I | n <u>Product</u> | <u>ion</u> |      | In <u>Develop</u> | <u>ment</u>         |       |      |      |       |
|---|------------------|------------|------|-------------------|---------------------|-------|------|------|-------|
|   | US/CA            | EMEA       | APAC | LatAm             |                     | US/CA | EMEA | APAC | LatAm |
|   | 6%               | 3%         | 3%   | 3%                | MAC OS              | 50%   | 35%  | 40%  | 33%   |
|   | 12               | 12         | 14   | 3                 | Windows             | 22    | 27   | 23   | 15    |
|   | 37               | 37         | 45   | 41                | Ubuntu              | 15    | 21   | 27   | 37    |
|   | 14               | 26         | 16   | 28                | Debian-based Linux  | 4     | 6    | 4    | 5     |
|   | 16               | 11         | 15   | 17                | Ent. Linux & Fedora | 4     | 3    | 3    | 3     |
|   | 3                | 3          | 2    | 1                 | Arch Linux          | 2     | 4    | 3    | 4 )-  |

#### **PRIMARY OS / DISTRO USED** by region



### Primary OS / distro

- Primary distro varies somewhat by development focus
- Ubuntu is most popular among back-end and full stack developers, while Windows is more popular among front-end and "other" developers (where it is the #1 choice for both production and development)

#### **PRIMARY OS / DISTRO USED** by primary development focus

| 1 | n <u>Productio</u> | <u>on</u>  |           |       | In <u>Development</u> |          |            |           |       |
|---|--------------------|------------|-----------|-------|-----------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
|   | Back-End           | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |                       | Back-End | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |
|   | 3%                 | 3%         | 8%        | 6%    | MAC OS                | 36%      | <b>47%</b> | 48%       | 26%   |
|   | 8                  | 9          | 18        | 29    | Windows               | 21       | 21         | 29        | 39    |
|   | 42                 | 41         | 30        | 25    | Ubuntu                | 26       | 20         | 14        | 20    |
|   | 19                 | 24         | 19        | 15    | Debian-based Linux    | 5        | 5          | 2         | 6     |
|   | 17                 | 12         | 14        | 9     | Ent. Linux & Fedora   | 6        | 3          | 1         | 0     |
|   | 3                  | 2          | 3         | 2     | Arch Linux            | 4        | 2          | 4         | 3     |



### SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

### Languages Used

- Node.js users are using a range of other languages besides Node.js more than 3 on average, including primarily JavaScript, Python, Java and PHP.
- A third are using ES2017 or above three times as many as in last year.
- APAC users use fewer languages on average than others, while those outside traditional development areas use more – particularly C++ and C.
- Most expect to increase their use of Node.js over the next 12 months and the number is rising. Growth will likely come from outside the US/CA – particularly in Latin America or EMEA.
- Use of other languages is also expected to increase including Rust, Go and JavaScript.
- Usage of Ruby has dropped, and users are far more likely to say they will "decrease" usage than increase over the next 12 months.
- PHP is less popular in US/CA and among "other" developers; and, many of those who use it say they will decrease usage over the next 12 months.
- Go and Swift may be stealing the attention of Node.js users many of those who plan to maintain/decrease with Node.js will increase with Go or Swift in the next 12 months.




LANGUAGES USED

# Expected change in Node.js usage

- Three quarters of respondents say they plan to increase their use of Node over the next 12 months up from 2016
- The rise in increased usage is attributable to back-end developers and those in EMEA, although Latin American respondents are most likely to say they will increase their usage



## EXPECTED CHANGE IN USE OF NODE. JS OVER NEXT 12 MONTHS by subgroup

e of Node over the next 12 months – up from 2016 s and those in EMEA, although Latin American respondents







## LANGUAGES USED

# Expected change in other languages

- Those who plan to increase their use of Node.js will be increasing their use of a number of other languages as well
- Many of those who will hold steady/decrease with Node.js will be increasing their focus on Go or Swift

### **EXPECTED CHANGE IN USE OVER NEXT 12 MONTHS**





## SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

# Package Managers

- npm is by far the most widely used package manager, but Yarn is gaining in popularity.
- Node users search for packages primarily on ptmjs.org or Google/search engines; the use of Google/search engines has increased since last year.
- It is becoming increasingly important to users to manage different packages for multi environments. Those in APAC and Latin American regions are most likely to see this as a priority.
- Availability of multiple registries is not widely seen as important in certain segments like EMEA, US/CA and small companies.
- Latin America is the only area where having multiple registries is an important priority.





# Package Manager Usage

- popularity in APAC and among other developers.





# Managing different packages

- It is becoming increasingly important to be able to manage different packages for multi environments the rise most evident among full stack developers and those in US / CA

## **IMPORTANCE OF MANAGING DIFFERENT PACKAGES FOR MULTI ENVIRONMENTS** e.g., react vs react-native or cli



These rises notwithstanding, managing different packages is particularly important to those in APAC and Latin America



PACKAGE MANAGERS

# Availability of Multiple Registries

- The availability of multiple registries is not widely seen as important at least not outside Latin America.
- EMEA respondents, and those in companies with fewer than 100 employees are least likely to value access to multiple registries.

**IMPORTANCE OF AVAILABILITY OF MULTIPLE REGISTRIES** *e.g., react vs react-native or cli* 



**\*\*Sample size small (n<50)** 





## SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

# Learning Node.js

- The main way Node.js users learn a new language is through online courses without an instructor, especially outside the US/CA
- Nearly all users learned Node.js in English but for more than half, it was not their native language.
- EMEA and Latin American users are most likely to have learned in a non-native language.
- There has been a rise in those who say it is easy to learn Node.js, and improvement in scores for availability and quality of resources in several topic areas.
- Latin American users, despite having learned in non-native language, give particularly high scores for availability, quality and overall ease of learning.
- There are some differences perceptions by subgroup, with APAC and EMEA having some concerns, and mid-size companies perhaps faring better than others.
- Newer Node.js users are less enthusiastic about availability and quality of resources than longer term users, although most are still positive.
- Documentation and StackOverflow are the main sources users rely on when learning a new language – but free online courses and tutorial videos are also important and something users would like more of (especially new users and those in Latin America.





# Learning resources

- programming and Asynchronous programming
- security – for both areas, high negative scores are a red flag



## **AVAILABILITY / QUALITY OF LEARNING RESOURCES**

SOURCE: Q35, Q36, among those who provided a rating.

There have been notable improvements in access to and/or quality of learning resources – particularly for General Node.js

Still, more needs to be done to improve ratings for resources around managing Node.js in production and Node.js and



## Learning resources

Perceptions of availability of resources vary considerably by region – with those in Latin America generally more upbeat, but those in APAC and EMEA having concerns in some specific topic areas



### **AVAILABILITY OF LEARNING RESOURCES BY REGION** selected resources





## Learning resources

A similar pattern exists with regard to quality: Latin America respondents are more pleased with quality while APAC and (less so) EMEA have concerns



### **AVAILABILITY OF LEARNING RESOURCES BY REGION** selected resources





- it's coming from midsize and smaller companies







## LEARNING NODE.JS

## Resources used

- To the extent users want more learning resources, documentation, free online courses and tutorial videos top the list

### **RESOURCES WOULD LIKE MORE OF** top mentions



Consistent with their current usage, new Node.js users are particularly likely to want free online courses and tutorial videos

## **RESOURCES WOULD LIKE MORE OF BY USING NODE.JS\*** top mentions

|                       | < 2 yrs | 2+ yrs |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|
| Documentation         | 33%     | 34%    |
| Free online courses   | 42      | 27     |
| Tutorial videos       | 30      | 25     |
| Case studies          | 15      | 16     |
| Conferences           | 12      | 16     |
| Meet-up coding events | 14      | 12     |
| Paid online courses   | 14      | 10     |
| Technical podcasts    | 12      | 12     |



## Resources used

- Latin American users are particularly open to new learning resources particularly around free online courses, tutorial videos, conferences and (increasingly) conference talk videos
- Front end developers are less likely than others (and than last wave) to want more meet-up events •

| By Region By Primary Development Focus |       |      |      |       |                        |          |            |           |       |
|----------------------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| L                                      | JS/CA | EMEA | APAC | LatAm |                        | Back-End | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |
|                                        | 34%   | 34%  | 34%  | 36%   | Documentation          | 40%      | 38%        | 35%       | 34%   |
|                                        | 32    | 31   | 36   | 46    | Free online courses    | 39       | 38         | 38        | 35    |
|                                        | 27    | 24   | 30   | 39    | Tutorial videos        | 31       | 35         | 27        | 23    |
|                                        | 14    | 12   | 15   | 29    | Conferences            | 18       | 18         | 12        | 10    |
|                                        | 11    | 16   | 22   | 21    | Case studies           | 19       | 17         | 16        | 15    |
|                                        | 13    | 10   | 17   | 17    | Meet-up coding events  | 16       | 15         | 9         | 13    |
|                                        | 11    | 9    | 14   | 17    | Meet-up talk events    | 13       | 14         | 8         | 10    |
|                                        | 15    | 10   | 12   | 17    | Technical podcasts     | 18       | 14         | 10        | 7     |
|                                        | 12    | 10   | 11   | 22    | Conference talk videos | 14       | 13         | 11        | 12    |
|                                        |       |      |      |       |                        |          |            |           |       |

## **RESOURCES WOULD LIKE MORE OF** top mentions



## SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

# Node.js Versions & LTS

- Most Node.js users use a version manager typically Nvm.
- Just over half use LTS release line, but use of current release line is increasing particularly among Full stack and "other" developers, and in smaller companies.
- It is important to most users to have LTS for Node.js, although it is somewhat less important to those in small companies or in EMEA.
- There has been a drop in those who say the LTS schedule support timeframe is 'clear', down to just half of users. Those least likely to see as clear are front-end developers, APAC and those in smaller companies.
- New users are less likely to use a version manager, and are more likely to use Apt-Get than their more seasoned peers.
- Importantly, only a minority of new users report a good understanding of the LTS schedule/support timeframe.





## NODE.JS VERSIONS & LTS

More than half of users rely on LTS release line – but that number is slipping

Current is particularly popular among small companies, and newer Node.js users

## Release Line

2017





NODE.JS VERSIONS & LTS

## Version managers

• Three in four Node.js users say they use a Node.js version manager – typically NVM

**USE A NODE.JS VERSION MANAGER** 





**NODE.JS VERSION MANAGER USED** top mentions, among those who use any





## NODE.JS VERSIONS & LTS

# LTS support

- It is important to most users to have LTS for Node.js



### **IMPORTANCE OF HAVING LONG TERM SUPPORT (LTS) FOR NODE.JS**

Users in EMEA and smaller companies are less likely to see it as a priority, but even here, more than half say it is important



# LTS support

- While many say the LTS Schedule / Support timeframe is clear, that number has dropped significantly since last year overall and across multiple segments
- APAC users, front-end developers and those in small companies are least likely to see LTS schedule / support timeframe as clear

## **IMPORTANCE OF LTS SCHEDULE / SUPPORT TIMEFRAME FOR VARIOUS VERSIONS** by subgroup





# Understanding newer Node.js users

- While it is not as important to them to have LTS support, most novice users still do want it, and their understanding of the schedule/support timeframe is weak



SOURCE: Q40, Q43, Q45 NOT TRENDED BY SUBGROUP

Newer Node.js users are less likely than their more seasoned peers in their use of version manager, and are more likely to use Apt-Get



## SECTION HIGHLIGHTS

# Node.js Impact & Getting Involved

- Users are very upbeat and excited about Node.js with words like "fast" "easy" "awesome" "simple" "powerful" and "fun" widely used to describe Node.js
- Node.js is continuing to have a positive impact on many users primarily through increased productivity and satisfaction, reduced development costs and increased app performance.
- The impacts may not be immediately clear however: new users are less likely to report positive impacts in many areas.
- While it's not the most widely felt benefit, users in US/CA are more likely than others to say Node.js.js has helped with recruiting.
- Despite their positive perceptions, few have been contributing to open source projects for Node.js.
- There is growing interest in getting involved, however: nearly a third say they are interested in contributing and nearly half say they might be open to mentoring others (both up from last year).
- Those most interested in being involved include users in Latin America, APAC, and back-end and full stack developers.
- The main barriers are time and inexperience but some Node.js users don't know how to contribute, or feel the community is not welcoming.





# Words to describe Node.js

In their own words, respondents used mostly positive adjectives to describe Node.js

They particularly like that it is fast, simple, easy, yet powerful and flexible.

expressive accessible enabling awesome platform clean important simple strong universal fragile available familiar versatile perfect swiss army knife open pragmatic speed intuitive complex development programming intelligent flexible server friendly npm tun great amazing community solid modular effective easy to use decent compatible performant convenient api moving quick stable useful ri/o free scalable innovative ubiquitous robust streams language performance happy beautiful reliable ecosystem backend manager agile small isomorphic async runtime future best secure incredible extensible futuristic javascript without browser nice scalability efficient love fantastic server-side modern everywhere speedy portable asynchronous productivity smart excellent light-weight evolving faster server side javascript concise light popular enjoyable COOL elegant



# Business impact

- Users in Latin America are particularly likely to note positive impacts particularly around productivity, application performance and uptime
- Node.js has helped with recruiting in the US/CA more than other regions

## **HOW NODE.JS HAS IMPACTED YOUR BUSINESS** top impacts

Increased developer productivity

Improved developer satisfaction

Reduced development cost

Increased application performance

Increased uptim

Helped recruit developer

No impac

| US/CAEMEAAPACLAT AMty68%66%69%78%on64606065ts60516156ce43475363ne22232440rs341812ct7633 |    |       |      |            |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------|------|------------|--------|
| 64606065ts60516156ce43475363ne22232440rs34181812                                        |    | US/CA | EMEA | APAC       | LAT AM |
| ts60516156ce43475363ne22232440rs34181812                                                | ty | 68%   | 66%  | <b>69%</b> | 78%    |
| Ce43475363ne22232440rs341812                                                            | on | 64    | 60   | 60         | 65     |
| ne 22 23 24 40<br>rs 34 18 18 12                                                        | ts | 60    | 51   | 61         | 56     |
| rs 34 18 18 12                                                                          | ce | 43    | 47   | 53         | 63     |
| 7 6 2 2                                                                                 | ne | 22    | 23   | 24         | 40     |
| ct <b>7 6 3 3</b>                                                                       | rs | 34    | 18   | 18         | 12     |
|                                                                                         | ct | 7     | 6    | 3          | 3      |



## NODE.JS IMPACT & GETTING INVOLVED

# Groups working with

- Consistent with last year, relatively few Node.js users reports contributing to open source repositories
- Github, npm and Express are most widely contributed to

### **OPEN SOURCE REPOSITORIES / GROUPS / PROJECTS IN NODE.JS CONTRIBUTE TO**

| Most Widely Mentioned:                | -  | https:/github.com/node.js |
|---------------------------------------|----|---------------------------|
| <ul> <li>nodejs/nodejs.org</li> </ul> | 3% |                           |
| •nodejs/LTS                           | 2% | Npm                       |
| •nodejs/v8                            | 2% |                           |
| <ul> <li>nodejs/http2</li> </ul>      | 1% | Express                   |
| <ul> <li>nodejs/build</li> </ul>      | 1% |                           |
| <ul> <li>nodejs/node-gyp</li> </ul>   | 1% | Gulp                      |
| <ul> <li>nodejs/TSC</li> </ul>        | 1% |                           |
|                                       |    | Lodash                    |
|                                       |    |                           |





## NODE.JS IMPACT & GETTING INVOLVED

# Groups working with

• Users in Asia / Pacific region are more likely to contribute to many groups

| By Region |      |      |       |                           | By Primary | Developme  | ent Focus |       |
|-----------|------|------|-------|---------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| US/CA     | EMEA | APAC | LatAm |                           | Back-End   | Full Stack | Front-End | Other |
| 12%       | 7%   | 12%  | 9%    | https:/github.com/node.js | 10%        | 10%        | 5%        | 12%   |
| 5         | 7    | 14   | 9     | Npm                       | 6          | 7          | 8         | 12    |
| 4         | 5    | 11   | 6     | Express                   | 6          | 7          | 4         | 7     |
| 2         | 3    | 5    | 4     |                           | 3          | 3          | 4         | 4     |
| _         |      | -    |       | Gulp                      | 2          | 3          | 3         | 3     |
| 2         | 3    | 6    | 4     | Lodash                    | 2          | 3          | 3         | 3     |
| 1         | 3    | 6    | 4     | Async                     | 3          | 3          | 1         | 4     |
| 1         | 1    | 4    | 3     | Browserify                | 2          | 1          | 2         | 2     |

## **OPEN SOURCE REPOSITORIES / GROUPS / PROJECTS IN NODE.JS CONTRIBUTE TO**



## NODE.JS FOUNDATION & GETTING INVOLVED

# Interest in getting involved

- Those most interested in being involved include users in Latin America and APAC, and back-end and full stack developers

## **INTEREST IN CONTRIBUTING TO OPEN SOURCE NODE.JS PROJECT**



Encouragingly, there has been a rise in the number of Node.js users who are interested in contributing to the project and/or mentoring others

While time and lack of skill are, by far, the primary barriers to contributing, some are held back because they don't know HOW to contribute

## **INTEREST IN MENTORING OTHERS**



